	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/662,849	BARRY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry K Choe	2817	1300
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT I of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate common RIGHTS. This application is so	n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the application filed</u>	on 9/15/2003.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-9</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on 15 September 2003 are accepted to	by the Examiner.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subsined including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT.	ve been received. ve been received in Application ocuments have been received. ve of this communication to file MENT of this application. mitted. Note the attached EX ves reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. rson's Patent Drawing Review. ves reason's Patent Drawing Review.	on No In this national stage applicated in this national stage applicated in this national stage applicated are placed as a reply complying with the recommendation and the declaration is deficient. In the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. In	quirements OTICE OF
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No./Mail Date 2/6/2004 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ⊠ Interview S Paper No. /08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's	oformal Patent Application (PTC) ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date <u>12/13/04</u> . Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
HENRY CHOE PRIMARY EXAMINE	R		

Application/Control Number: 10/662,849

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species	<u>Figure(s)</u> .		
	1		
11	2		

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversion with Mr. John Garred on 12/9/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of specie I, claims 1-9. Claims 10-16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,849 Page 3

Art Unit: 2817

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. John Garred on 12/9/2004.

Claims 10-16 have been cancelled.

Reasons for Allowance

Claims 1-9 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Regarding claim 1, the closest prior art of record, Kuriyama (Fig. 1) does not disclose the following limitations: a second resonator element operatively coupled to the control terminal of the bias transistor and ground.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2817

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Patent numbers (6,744,321; 6,750,718; 6,803,822) are the RF amplifiers with the bias circuits.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1760.

HENRY CHOE

#952